

1 SIGAL CHATTAH
 2 United States Attorney
 3 District of Nevada
 4 Nevada Bar No. 8264

5 VIRGINIA T. TOMOVA
 6 Assistant United States Attorney
 7 Nevada Bar No. 12504
 8 501 Las Vegas Blvd. So., Suite 1100
 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 10 (702) 388-6533
 11 Virginia.Tomova@usdoj.gov

12 *Attorneys for the Federal Defendants*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 Juan Francisco Eguizabal Valiente, Sandra
 16 Patricia Lopez de Eguizabal, Oscar
 17 Geovanny Eguizabal Lopez, Josue Arturo
 18 Eguizabal Lopez, and Emanuel Isaac
 19 Eguizabal Lopez,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 Loren K. Miller, Alejandro Mayorkas, and
 23 Ur Mendoza Jaddou,

24 Defendants.

25 Case No. 2:24-cv-02415-JCM-MDC

26 **Stipulation and Order to Extend Time
 27 to Answer**

28 **(Third Request)**

29 Pursuant to Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule IA 6-1 of
 30 this Court's Local Rules, Plaintiffs Juan Francisco Eguizabal Valiente, Sandra Patricia
 31 Lopez de Eguizabal, Oscar Geovanny Eguizabal Lopez, Josue Arturo Eguizabal Lopez,
 32 and Emanuel Isaac Eguizabal Lopez, and Federal Defendants Loren K. Miller, Alejandro
 33 Mayorkas, and Ur Mendoza Jaddou, through undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and
 34 agree as follows:

35 Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and for Writ of
 36 Mandamus on December 30, 2024. ECF No. 1.

37 Plaintiffs served Federal Defendants with a copy of the Summons and Complaint
 38 via certified mail on January 10, 2025.

1 The current deadline for Federal Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint is
2 May 16, 2025.

3 On May 13, 2025, both counsels agreed to an additional 7-day extension for Federal
4 Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint. The current response due date falls in the
5 same week as counsel's approved medical leave, and the additional time of 7 days is
6 needed to finalize the response.

7 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), a court may, "for good cause," extend
8 a deadline if a request is made "before the original time or its extension requires." Fed. R.
9 Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A); *see also* Local Rule IA 6-1(a). The Ninth Circuit has equated good cause
10 with the exercise of due diligence. *See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604,
11 609 (9th Cir. 1992). Good cause exists here because counsel has been diligent in
12 coordinating with agency counsel, requesting the information necessary to respond to
13 Plaintiffs' allegations, and investigating such allegations. Nevertheless, due to counsel's
14 approved medical leave which falls within the same week with the current deadline to file a
15 responsive pleading, additional time is needed for counsel to finalize the response pleading.

16 Accordingly, the parties, through undersigned counsel, submit this stipulation to a
17 7-day extension from May 16, 2025, to **May 23, 2025**, for Federal Defendants to file a
18 response to the Complaint. This is the third request for an extension of time.

19 / / /

20

21 / / /

22

23 / / /

24

25 / / /

26

27 / / /

28

1 This stipulated request is filed in good faith and not for the purposes of undue delay.
2

3 Respectfully submitted this 13th day of May 2025.
4

5 QUIROGA LAW OFFICE, PLLC
6

7 /s/ Maria E. Quiroga
8 MARIA E. QUIROGA
9 Nevada Bar No. 13939
10 7935 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 103
11 Las Vegas, NV 89117
12

13 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*
14

15 SIGAL CHATTAH
16 United States Attorney
17

18 /s/ Virginia T. Tomova
19 VIRGINIA T. TOMOVA
20 Assistant United States Attorney
21

22 *Attorneys for the Federal Defendants*
23

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**
25

26 
27 Hon. Maximiliano G. Couvillier III
28 United States Magistrate Judge
29

30 **DATED:** 5/14/2025
31